

ON THE LOCAL CONVERSE THEOREM FOR p -ADIC GL_n

HERVÉ JACQUET AND BAIYING LIU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we completely prove a standard conjecture on the local converse theorem for generic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, where F is a non-archimedean local field.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a non-archimedean local field. Let $G_n := \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and let π be an irreducible generic representation of G_n . The family of local gamma factors $\gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi)$, for τ any irreducible generic representation of G_r , ψ an additive character of F and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, can be defined using Rankin–Selberg convolution [JPSS83] or the Langlands–Shahidi method [S84]. The following is a standard conjecture on precisely which family of gamma factors determine π .

Conjecture 1.1. *Let π_1, π_2 be irreducible generic representations of G_n . Suppose that they have the same central character. If*

$$\gamma(s, \pi_1 \times \tau, \psi) = \gamma(s, \pi_2 \times \tau, \psi),$$

as functions of the complex variable s , for all irreducible generic representations τ of G_r with $1 \leq r \leq [\frac{n}{2}]$, then $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$.

The conjecture and the global version of the conjecture ([CPS99, Section 8, Conjecture 1]) emerged from early discussions between Piatetski-Shapiro, Shalika and the first mentioned author. In particular, they proved 1.1 in the case $n = 3$ ([JPSS79]).

The fact that the representations have the same central character implies that if, for a given r , the above equality is true for one choice of ψ , then it is true for all choices of ψ . Moreover, if the above equality is true for $r = 1$ and one choice of ψ , then the representations have the same central character ([JNS15, Corollary 2.7]).

Date: January 19, 2016.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11S70, 22E50; Secondary 11F85, 22E55.

Key words and phrases. Local Converse Theorem, Generic Representations.

The second mentioned author is supported in part by NSF Grants DMS-1302122.

One can propose a more general family of conjectures as follows (see [ALSX15]). We say that π_1 and π_2 satisfy hypothesis \mathcal{H}_0 if they have the same central character. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we say that they satisfy hypothesis \mathcal{H}_m if they satisfy hypothesis \mathcal{H}_0 and satisfy

$$\gamma(s, \pi_1 \times \tau, \psi) = \gamma(s, \pi_2 \times \tau, \psi)$$

as functions of the complex variable s , for all irreducible generic representations τ of G_m . For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we say that π_1, π_2 satisfy hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_{\leq r}$ if they satisfy hypothesis \mathcal{H}_m , for $0 \leq m \leq r$.

Conjecture $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{r})$. *If π_1, π_2 are irreducible generic representations of G_n which satisfy hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_{\leq r}$, then $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$.*

Conjecture 1.1 is exactly Conjecture $\mathcal{J}(n, [\frac{n}{2}])$. Henniart proved Conjecture $\mathcal{J}(n, n-1)$ in [H93]. Conjecture $\mathcal{J}(n, n-2)$ (for $n \geq 3$) is a Theorem due to Chen [Ch96, Ch06], to Cogdell and Piatetski-Shapiro [CPS99], and to Hakim and Offen [HO15]. As we mentioned above, Conjecture $\mathcal{J}(3, 1)$ is first due to the first mentioned author, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika [JPSS79].

In [JNS15, Section 2.4], Conjecture 1.1 is shown to be equivalent to the same conjecture with the adjective “generic” replaced by “unitarizable supercuspidal” as follows:

Conjecture 1.2. *Let π_1, π_2 be irreducible unitarizable supercuspidal representations of G_n . Suppose that they have the same central character. If*

$$\gamma(s, \pi_1 \times \tau, \psi) = \gamma(s, \pi_2 \times \tau, \psi),$$

as functions of the complex variable s , for all irreducible supercuspidal representations τ of G_r with $1 \leq r \leq [\frac{n}{2}]$, then $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$.

In [JNS15], Jiang, Nien and Stevens introduced the notion of a special pair of Whittaker functions for a pair of irreducible unitarizable supercuspidal representations π_1, π_2 of G_n . They proved that if there is such a pair, and π_1, π_2 satisfy hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_{\leq [\frac{n}{2}]}$, then $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$. They also found special pairs of Whittaker functions in many cases, in particular the case of depth zero representations. In [ALSX15], Adrian, the second mentioned author, Stevens and Xu proved part of the case left open in [JNS15]. In particular, the results in [JNS15] and [ALSX15] together imply that Conjecture 1.2 is true for G_n , n prime. We remark that both [JNS15] and [ALSX15] make use of the construction of supercuspidal representations of G_n in [BK93] and properties of Whittaker functions of supercuspidal representations constructed in [PS08].

In this paper we prove Conjecture 1.1, hence conjecture 1.2. We use analytic methods. We do not resort to the construction of special pairs

of Whittaker functions for supercuspidal representations. The idea is inspired by the proof of Conjecture $\mathcal{J}(n, n-2)$ in [Ch06]. We state the main result of the paper as the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Conjecture 1.1 is true.*

We were recently informed that Chai has an independent and different proof of Conjecture 1.1 ([Ch15]).

One straightforward application of Theorem 1.3 is that it reduces the amount of necessary GL-twisted local factors, in order to obtain the uniqueness of local Langlands correspondence (proved by Henniart in [H02]), and it also gives a corresponding local converse theorem for local Langlands parameters via the local Langlands correspondence.

By the argument of [CPS99, Section 7, Theorem], one can see that Conjecture 1.2 is a consequence of the global version of Conjecture 1.1 ([CPS99, Section 8, Conjecture 1]). Hence, Theorem 1.3 provides evidence for the global version of Conjecture 1.1 on the global converse theorem.

It is easy to find pairs of generic representations showing that in Conjecture 1.1, $[\frac{n}{2}]$ is sharp for the generic dual of G_n . In Conjecture 1.2, sharpness of $[\frac{n}{2}]$ for the supercuspidal dual of G_n is being considered in [ALST15], in particular, it has been shown in [ALST15] that $[\frac{n}{2}]$ is sharp for the supercuspidal dual of G_n , n prime. However, it is expected that for certain families of supercuspidal representations, $[\frac{n}{2}]$ may not be sharp, for example, for simple supercuspidal representations (of depth $\frac{1}{n}$), the upper bound may be lowered to 1 (see [BH14, Proposition 2.2] and [AL15, Remark 3.18] in general, and [X13] in the tame case).

Nien in [N14] proved the finite field analogue of Conjecture 1.1, using special properties of normalized Bessel functions. We remark that the idea in this paper also applies to the finite field case, and could give a new proof for the result in [N14]. Moss in [M15] proved an analogue of Conjecture $\mathcal{J}(n, n-1)$ for ℓ -adic families of smooth representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, where F is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and ℓ is different from p .

The local converse problem has been studied for irreducible generic representations of groups other than GL_n : $\mathrm{U}(2, 1)$ and $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ (Baruch, [B95] and [B97]); $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$ (Jiang and Soudry, [JS03]); $\mathrm{U}(1, 1)$ and $\mathrm{U}(2, 2)$ (Zhang, [Z15a] and [Z15b]). We remark that since the local converse theorem for SO_{2n+1} in [JS03] is eventually reduced to the local converse theorem for GL_{2n} , following exactly the same proof given in [JS03], Theorem 1.3 implies that twisting up to irreducible generic representations of GL_n is enough in the local converse theorem for SO_{2n+1} in [JS03].

Section 2 will be preparation on properties of irreducible generic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and Rankin-Selberg convolution. Theorem 1.3 will be proved in Section 3. Section 4 will be the proof of Proposition 3.6.

Finally, we would like to thank J. Cogdell, D. Jiang and F. Shahidi for their interest in the problems discussed in this paper and for their encouragements, and S. Stevens for a helpful suggestion which makes the paper more readable.

Acknowledgements. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under agreement No. DMS-1128155. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

2. GENERIC REPRESENTATIONS AND RANKIN-SELBERG CONVOLUTION

In this section, we review basic results on generic representations and the Rankin-Selberg convolution, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 3.

Let F be a non-archimedean local field, and let q be the cardinality of the residue field of F . Let $G_n := \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. All representations of G_n considered in this paper are irreducible smooth and complex.

2.1. Whittaker models. Let $B_n = T_n U_n$ be the standard Borel subgroup of G_n consisting of upper triangular matrices, with unipotent radical $U := U_n$ and diagonal group T_n . Fix a nontrivial additive character ψ of F . Define a non-degenerate character ψ_{U_n} of U_n also denoted by ψ_U as follows:

$$\psi_{U_n}(u) := \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i,i+1} \right), \quad u \in U_n.$$

An irreducible representation (π, V) of G_n is *generic* if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n}(V, \mathrm{Ind}_U^{G_n} \psi_U) \neq 0.$$

It is known that if π is generic, then the above Hom-space is of dimension 1. Let π be an irreducible generic representation of G_n , fix a nonzero functional ℓ in the above Hom-space, then the image of V under ℓ is called the *Whittaker model* of π , denoted by $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$. It is known that $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ is independent of the choice of ℓ . For each $v \in V$, let $W_v = \ell(v)$. Then for $u \in U$, $g \in G_n$,

$$W_v(ug) = \psi_U(u) W_v(g),$$

$$W_v(g) = \ell(v)(g) = \ell(\pi(g)v)(I_n) = W_{\pi(g)v}(I_n).$$

For $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, let

$$\widetilde{W}(g) = W(\omega_n {}^t g^{-1}),$$

where

$$\omega_1 = 1, \omega_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \omega_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is well known that $\widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{W}(\widetilde{\pi}, \overline{\psi})$, where $\widetilde{\pi}$ is the representation contragradient to π .

Let P be the maximal parabolic subgroup of G_n with Levi subgroup $G_{n-1} \times G_1$. Let Z be the center of G_n . Given two irreducible generic representations π_1 and π_2 of G_n with the same central character, to show that $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$, it suffices to show that their Whittaker models $\mathcal{W}(\pi_1, \psi)$ and $\mathcal{W}(\pi_2, \psi)$ have a nonzero intersection. The following two propositions allow us to study Whittaker functions by restricting them to P .

Proposition 2.1 ([GK75]). *Let π be an irreducible generic representation of G_n with central character ω_π . Then the restriction $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)|_P$ has a Jordan-Hölder series of finite length which contains the compact induction $\text{ind}_{ZU}^P \omega_\pi \psi_U$ as an irreducible subrepresentation.*

The following proposition is proven in [JPSS79] for $n = 3$, and the same argument works for general n . The proof can also be found in [BZ77, Theorem 4.9].

Proposition 2.2. *Let (π, V) be an irreducible generic representation of G_n . Then*

$$v \mapsto W_v|_P$$

is an injective map from V to the space of smooth functions on P .

Let π_1, π_2 be two irreducible generic representations of G_n with the same central character ω . Let $V_0 = \text{ind}_{ZU}^P \omega \psi_U$. For $p \in P$, let $\rho(p)$ be the operator of right translation on complex functions v on P :

$$\rho(p)v(x) = v(xp).$$

By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, for any $v \in V_0$ there is a unique element W_v^i in the Whittaker model of π_i such that, for all $p \in P$, $W_v^i(p) = v(p)$. Thus, we have

$$W_v^1(p) = W_v^2(p), \forall p \in P, \forall v \in V_0.$$

Note that for $p \in P$, we have

$$W_v^i(gp) = W_{\rho(p)v}^i(g), \forall g \in G_n, \forall v \in V_0.$$

2.2. Rankin-Selberg convolution. Let $n, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and let π and τ be irreducible generic representations of G_n and G_t , with Whittaker models $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ and $\mathcal{W}(\tau, \bar{\psi})$, respectively. Let $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ and $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\tau, \bar{\psi})$. Assume that $n > t$, which is the case of interest to us in this paper.

For any integer j with $0 \leq j \leq n - t - 1$, let $k = n - t - 1 - j$, define a local zeta integral as follows:

(2.1)

$$\Psi(s, W, W'; j) := \int \int W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} W'(g) |\det g|^{s - \frac{n-t}{2}} dx dg,$$

with integration being over $g \in U_t \backslash G_t$ and $X \in M_{j \times t}(F)$.

For $g \in G_n$, let $\rho(g)$ be the operator of right translation on complex functions f on G_n :

$$\rho(g)f(x) = f(xg).$$

Let

$$\omega_{n,t} = \begin{pmatrix} I_t & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{n-t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following result is about functional equations for a pair of irreducible generic representations, proved by the first named author, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika in [JPSS83]. It plays an important role in proving the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.3 ([JPSS83], Section 2.7). *With notation as above, the followings hold.*

- (1) *Each integral $\Psi(s, W, W'; j)$ is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large and is a rational function of q^{-s} . More precisely, for any fixed j , the integrals $\Psi(s, W, W'; j)$ span a fractional ideal (independent of j) of $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$:*

$$\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]L(s, \pi \times \tau),$$

where the local factor $L(s, \pi \times \tau)$ has the form $P(q^s)^{-1}$, with $P \in \mathbb{C}[q^s]$ and $P(0) = 1$.

- (2) *For any $0 \leq j \leq n - t - 1$, there is a factor $\epsilon(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi)$, independent of j , such that*

$$\frac{\Psi(1-s, \rho(\omega_{n,t})\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}'; k)}{L(1-s, \widetilde{\pi} \times \widetilde{\tau})} = \omega_{\tau}(-1)^{n-1} \epsilon(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi) \frac{\Psi(s, W, W'; j)}{L(s, \pi \times \tau)},$$

where $k = n - t - 1 - j$ and ω_{τ} is the central character of τ .

The local gamma factor attached to a pair (π, τ) is defined to be

$$\gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi) = \epsilon(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi) \frac{L(1-s, \tilde{\pi} \times \tilde{\tau})}{L(s, \pi \times \tau)}.$$

Then the functional equation in Part (ii) of Theorem 2.3 can be written as

$$(2.2) \quad \Psi(1-s, \rho(\omega_{n,t})\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}'; k) = \omega_{\tau}(-1)^{n-1} \gamma(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi) \Psi(s, W, W'; j).$$

At the end of this section, we introduce the following important lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two irreducible generic representations of G_n . Let $t \leq n-2$ and j with $0 \leq j \leq t$. Suppose that W^1 and W^2 are elements in the Whittaker models of π_1 and π_2 respectively. Suppose further that for all irreducible generic representations τ of G_{n-t-1} we have*

$$\Psi(s, W^1, W'; j) = \Psi(s, W^2, W'; j)$$

for all $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\tau, \overline{\psi})$ and for $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$. Then

$$\int W^1 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{t+1-j} \end{pmatrix} dX = \int W^2 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{t+1-j} \end{pmatrix} dX,$$

where the integrals are over $X \in M_{j \times (n-t-1)}(F)$.

Proof. For $j = 0$, the assumption is that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{U_{n-t-1} \backslash G_{n-t-1}} W^1 \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & I_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} W'(g) |\det g|^{s+\frac{t+1}{2}} dg \\ &= \int_{U_{n-t-1} \backslash G_{n-t-1}} W^2 \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & I_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} W'(g) |\det g|^{s+\frac{t+1}{2}} dg, \end{aligned}$$

for all W' . The conclusion is that $W^1(I_n) = W^2(I_n)$. Indeed, recall that given $C > 0$ the relations

$$|\det g| = C, \quad W^i \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & I_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

imply that g is in a set compact modulo U_{n-t-1} . Both sides of the identity (2.3) converge for $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$. Thus they can be interpreted as formal Laurent series in q^{-s} . We conclude that for any $C > 0$

$$\int_{|\det g|=C} W^1 \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & I_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} W'(g) dg = \int_{|\det g|=C} W^2 \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & I_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} W'(g) dg.$$

One then applies the spectral theory of the space $L^2(U_{n-t-1} \backslash G_{n-t-1}^0)$ where $G_{n-t-1}^0 = \{g \in G_{n-t-1} : |\det g| = 1\}$. For more details, see [H93, Section 3] and [Ch06, Section 2].

For $0 < j \leq t$, one observes that there is a compact subset Ω of $M_{j \times (n-t-1)}(F)$ such that for all $g \in G_{n-t-1}$ and $i = 1, 2$,

$$W^i \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{t+1-j} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

implies that $X \in \Omega$. Thus, for $i = 1, 2$, there is an element $W_0^i \in \mathcal{W}(\pi_i, \psi)$ such that for all $g \in G_{n-t-1}$

$$\int_{M_{j \times (n-t-1)}(F)} W^i \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{t+1-j} \end{pmatrix} dX = W_0^i \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{t+1-j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are therefore reduced to the case $j = 0$. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. Let π_1 and π_2 be irreducible generic representations of G_n with the same central character ω . We recall from Section 2.1 that P is the maximal parabolic subgroup of G_n with Levi subgroup $G_{n-1} \times G_1$, Z is the center of G_n , $V_0 = \text{ind}_{ZU}^P \omega \psi_U$, and we have

$$(3.1) \quad W_v^1(p) = W_v^2(p), \forall p \in P, \forall v \in V_0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad W_v^i(gp) = W_{\rho(p)v}^i(g), \forall g \in G_n, \forall p \in P, \forall v \in V_0, i = 1, 2.$$

We recall the decomposition of G_n into double cosets of U and P as in [Ch06]:

$$G_n = \dot{\bigcup}_{i=0}^{n-1} U \alpha^i P,$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\alpha^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n-i} \\ I_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, in particular, $\alpha^0 = \alpha^n = I_n$.

Definition 3.1. For each double coset $U \alpha^i P$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we call i the height of the double coset. We say that π_1 and π_2 agree at height i if

$$W_v^1(g) = W_v^2(g), \forall g \in U \alpha^i P, \forall v \in V_0.$$

By (3.1), π_1 and π_2 agree at height 0. The following lemma gives a characterization of π_1 and π_2 agreeing at height i .

Lemma 3.2 ([Ch06], Lemma 3.1). *π_1 and π_2 agree at height i if and only if*

$$W_v^1(\alpha^i) = W_v^2(\alpha^i), \forall v \in V_0.$$

The following proposition is one of the main ingredients for this paper.

Lemma 3.3 ([Ch06], Proposition 3.1). *Let t with $1 \leq t \leq n-1$. If π_1 and π_2 satisfy hypothesis \mathcal{H}_t , then they agree at height t .*

To proceed, we give a characterization of the matrices in the double coset $P\alpha^s U$, $0 \leq s \leq n-1$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $0 \leq s \leq n-1$, Then $g \in P\alpha^s U$ if and only if the last row of g has the form*

$$(0, \dots, 0, a_s, a_{s+1}, \dots, a_n), \quad a_s \neq 0.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\alpha^s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n-s} \\ I_s & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that the last row of any matrix in $P\alpha^s$ has the form

$$(0, \dots, 0, a_s, 0, \dots, 0),$$

where $a_s \neq 0$ occurs in the s -th column of the matrix. After multiplying by matrices in U from the right, one can see that last row of any matrix in $P\alpha^s U$ has the form $(0, \dots, 0, a_s, a_{s+1}, \dots, a_n)$, with $a_s \neq 0$. \square

In fact, this lemma gives at once the decomposition in the disjoint double cosets

$$G_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} P\alpha^i U = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} U\alpha^i P.$$

The next lemma is a generalization of [Ch06, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 3.5. *Let t with $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq t \leq n-2$. Suppose that for any s with $0 \leq s \leq t$ the representations π_1 and π_2 agree at height s . Then the following equality holds for all $X \in M_{(n-t-1) \times (2t+2-n)}(F)$, all $g \in G_{n-t-1}$, and all $v \in V_0$:*

$$W_v^1 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & X & g \end{pmatrix} = W_v^2 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & X & g \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. First note that the hypothesis $[\frac{n}{2}] \leq t \leq n-2$ implies that $n-t-1 \geq 1$ and $2t+2-n \geq 1$.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & X & g \end{pmatrix}$, where $X \in M_{(n-t-1) \times (2t+2-n)}(F)$,

and $g \in G_{n-t-1}$. Then $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & -g^{-1}X & g^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. By Lemma

3.4, $A^{-1} \in P\alpha^i U$, where $i \geq n-t$, hence, $A \in U\alpha^{n-i}P$ with $n-i \leq t$. Since π_1 and π_2 agree at heights $0, 1, 2, \dots, t$, W_v^1 and W_v^2 agree on A , for any $v \in V_0$.

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The following proposition allows us to prove Theorem 1.3 inductively.

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that π_1 and π_2 satisfy hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_{\leq [\frac{n}{2}]}$. Let t with $[\frac{n}{2}] \leq t \leq n-2$. Suppose that for any s with $0 \leq s \leq t$, the representations π_1 and π_2 agree at height s . Then they agree at height $t+1$.*

Before proving the proposition, we apply it to the proof of our main result as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that π_1 and π_2 satisfy hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_{\leq [\frac{n}{2}]}$. By Lemma 3.3, π_1 and π_2 agree at heights $1, 2, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]$. Note that by (3.1), π_1 and π_2 already agree at height 0. Applying Proposition 3.6 repeatedly for t from $[\frac{n}{2}]$ to $n-2$, we obtain that π_1 and π_2 also agree at heights $[\frac{n}{2}] + 1, \dots, n-1$. Hence, π_1 and π_2 agree at all the heights $0, 1, \dots, n-1$, that is, $W_v^1(g) = W_v^2(g)$, for all $g \in G_n$ and for all $v \in V_0$. Therefore, $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

Therefore, we only need to prove Proposition 3.6, which will be done in Section 4.

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.6

In this section, we prove Proposition 3.6.

Proof of Proposition 3.6. By Lemma 3.5,

$$W_v^1 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & X & g \end{pmatrix} = W_v^2 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & X & g \end{pmatrix}$$

holds for all $X \in M_{(n-t-1) \times (2t+2-n)}(F)$, all $g \in G_{n-t-1}$, and all $v \in V_0$. Fix any pair (X, g) . Then,

$$W_v^1 \left(\omega_n \omega_n \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & X & g \end{pmatrix} \right) = W_v^2 \left(\omega_n \omega_n \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & X & g \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

that is,

$$W_v^1 \left(\omega_n \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_n \right) = W_v^2 \left(\omega_n \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_n \right),$$

where $g_1 = \omega_{n-t-1} g \omega_{n-t-1}$, $X_1 = \omega_{n-t-1} X \omega_{2t+2-n}$.

Note that

$$\omega_n = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{n-t-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_{n,n-t-1} \alpha^{t+1}.$$

Recall that

$$\omega_{n,n-t-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{t+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & W_v^1 \left(\omega_n \begin{pmatrix} g_2 & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_{n,n-t-1} \alpha^{t+1} \right) \\ &= W_v^2 \left(\omega_n \begin{pmatrix} g_2 & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_{n,n-t-1} \alpha^{t+1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_2 = \omega_{n-t-1} g$, $X_1 = \omega_{n-t-1} X \omega_{2t+2-n}$.

Let $X_v^i = \rho(\alpha^{t+1}) W_v^i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & X_v^1 \left(\omega_n \begin{pmatrix} g_2 & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_{n,n-t-1} \right) \\ &= X_v^2 \left(\omega_n \begin{pmatrix} g_2 & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_{n,n-t-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\widetilde{X}_v^i(g) = X_v^i(\omega_n^t g^{-1})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{X}_v^1 \left(\begin{pmatrix} g_3 & 0 & 0 \\ X_2 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_{n,n-t-1} \right) \\ &= \widetilde{X}_v^2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} g_3 & 0 & 0 \\ X_2 & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_{n,n-t-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_3 = \omega_{n-t-1}^t g^{-1}$, $X_2 = -\omega_{2t+2-n}^t X \omega_{n-t-1} g_1$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{X}_v^1 \left(\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_{n,n-t-1} \right) \\ &= \widetilde{X}_v^2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_{2t+2-n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-t-1} \end{pmatrix} \omega_{n,n-t-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in M_{(2t+2-n) \times (n-t-1)}(F)$, all $g \in G_{n-t-1}$, and all $v \in V_0$. Then, by the definition of the zeta integral Ψ in (2.1), we have the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(1-s, \rho(\omega_{n,n-t-1})(\widetilde{X}_v^1), \widetilde{W}_\tau; 2t+2-n) \\ &= \Psi(1-s, \rho(\omega_{n,n-t-1})(\widetilde{X}_v^2), \widetilde{W}_\tau; 2t+2-n), \end{aligned}$$

for all irreducible generic representations τ of G_{n-t-1} , all Whittaker functions $W_\tau \in \mathcal{W}(\tau, \overline{\psi})$, and all $v \in V_0$. Note that the above equality first holds for $\text{Re}(s) \ll 0$ and is then an identity of rational functions of q^{-s} for all τ , all W_τ , and all $v \in V_0$.

Since π_1 and π_2 satisfy hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_{\leq [\frac{n}{2}]}$, and $n-t-1 \leq [\frac{n}{2}]$, by functional equation in (2.2), we have that

$$\Psi(s, X_v^1, W_\tau; n-t-2) = \Psi(s, X_v^2, W_\tau; n-t-2),$$

for all irreducible generic representations τ of G_{n-t-1} , all Whittaker functions $W_\tau \in \mathcal{W}(\tau, \overline{\psi})$, and all $v \in V_0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{M_{(n-t-2) \times (n-t-1)}(F)} X_v^1 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} dX \\ &= \int_{M_{(n-t-2) \times (n-t-1)}(F)} X_v^2 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} dX, \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in V_0$. We claim (Lemma 4.1 below) that this identity implies in fact

$$X_v^1(I_n) = X_v^2(I_n), \forall v \in V_0.$$

Taking this for granted at the moment we finish the proof. Indeed, we have then

$$W_v^1(\alpha^{t+1}) = W_v^2(\alpha^{t+1}), \forall v \in V_0.$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.2, π_1 and π_2 agree at height $t+1$. This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.6. \square

In the rest of this paper we establish our claim, that is, we prove the following lemma. We remark that in the case $t = n - 2$, considered in [Ch06], there is no need of the following lemma, since $n - t - 2 = 0$ when $t = n - 2$.

Lemma 4.1. *Recall that $X_v^i = \rho(\alpha^{t+1})W_v^i$, $i = 1, 2$. If*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{M_{(n-t-2) \times (n-t-1)}(F)} X_v^1 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} dX \\ &= \int_{M_{(n-t-2) \times (n-t-1)}(F)} X_v^2 \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} dX, \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in V_0$, then $X_v^1(I_n) = X_v^2(I_n)$, for all $v \in V_0$.

Proof. Since $X_v^i = \rho(\alpha^{t+1})W_v^i$, by (3.2), equality (4.1) implies that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{M_{(n-t-2) \times (n-t-1)}(F)} X_v^1 \left(u \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} p \right) dX \\ &= \int_{M_{(n-t-2) \times (n-t-1)}(F)} X_v^2 \left(u \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} p \right) dX, \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in U$, all $p \in \alpha^{t+1}P(\alpha^{t+1})^{-1}$, and all $v \in V_0$. Recall that

$$\alpha^{t+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n-t-1} \\ I_{t+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the $(n - t - 1)$ -th row of any p in $\alpha^{t+1}P(\alpha^{t+1})^{-1}$ has the form $(0, \dots, 0, a, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $a \neq 0$ in the $(n - t - 1)$ -th column. Conversely, this condition characterizes the elements of $\alpha^{t+1}P(\alpha^{t+1})^{-1}$. We will use the relation (4.2) only for $p \in U \cap \alpha^{t+1}P(\alpha^{t+1})^{-1}$.

We denote by $\xi_{i,j}$ the matrix whose only non-zero entry is 1 in the i -th row and j -th column. Thus

$$\xi_{i,j}\xi_{j',k} = \delta_{j,j'}\xi_{i,k}.$$

Given a root α (positive or negative) we denote by X_α the corresponding root subgroup. Thus if $\alpha = e_i - e_j$, for any $a \in F$, the element $I_n + a\xi_{i,j}$ is in X_α .

Set

$$\mathfrak{X} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ X & I_{n-t-2} & \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix}, X \in M_{(n-t-2) \times (n-t-1)}(F) \right\}.$$

The group \mathfrak{X} is abelian and is the direct product of the groups $X_{e_a - e_b}$ with

$$n-t \leq a \leq 2(n-t)-3, 1 \leq b \leq n-t-1.$$

For such a pair (a, b) we have either

$$b \leq a - (n-t) + 1$$

or

$$a \leq b + n - t - 2.$$

We then define subgroups of \mathfrak{X} as follows. For $n-t \leq a \leq 2(n-t)-3$, we define the following subgroup of \mathfrak{X} :

$$X_a = \prod_{1 \leq b \leq a - (n-t) + 1} X_{e_a - e_b}.$$

We also define a subgroup of U as follows.

$$Y_a = \prod_{1 \leq b \leq a - (n-t) + 1} X_{e_b - e_{a+1}}.$$

We can identify Y_a with the dual of X_a as follows: if for $X \in X_a$, $Y \in Y_a$, write

$$\begin{aligned} X &= I_n + \sum_{1 \leq b \leq a - (n-t) + 1} \xi_{a,b} x_b, \\ Y &= I_n + \sum_{1 \leq b \leq a - (n-t) + 1} \xi_{b,a+1} y_b, \end{aligned}$$

then set

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq b \leq a - (n-t) + 1} x_b y_b.$$

We remark that Y_a is contained in the subgroup $U \cap \alpha^{t+1} P \alpha^{-(t+1)}$. Indeed, b cannot take the value $n-t-1$, otherwise, we would have

$n - t - 1 \leq a - (n - t) + 1$ or $2(n - t) - 2 \leq a$, which contradicts the assumption $a \leq 2(n - t) - 3$.

For $2 \leq b \leq n - t - 1$, we define

$$Z_b = \prod_{n-t \leq a \leq b+n-t-2} X_{e_a - e_b}.$$

We also define a subgroup of U as follows:

$$T_b = \prod_{n-t \leq a \leq b+n-t-2} X_{e_{b-1} - e_a}.$$

Again we can identify T_b with the dual of Z_b as follows: if for $Z \in Z_b$, $T \in T_b$, write

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= I_n + \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq b+n-t-2} \xi_{a,b} z_a, \\ T &= I_n + \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq b+n-t-2} \xi_{b-1,a} t_a, \end{aligned}$$

then set

$$\langle Z, T \rangle = \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq b+n-t-2} z_a y_a.$$

Since $b - 1 \leq n - t - 2$, the $(n - t - 1)$ -th row of a matrix in T_b has all its elements 0 except the diagonal element equal to 1. Thus T_b is contained in $U \cap \alpha^{t+1} P \alpha^{-(t+1)}$.

The identity (4.1) can be written as follows: for all $v \in V_0$,

$$\int X_v^i(X) dX = \int X_v^2(X) dX,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq 2(n-t)-3} X_a \prod_{2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b.$$

Note that the two functions X_v^i on \mathfrak{X} are smooth and compactly supported. We should keep in mind that

$$X_{\rho(p)v}^i(X) = X_v^i(X(\alpha^{t+1} p \alpha^{-(t+1)})), \forall p \in P, \forall v \in V_0.$$

First step. We show that we have, for all $v \in V_0$, the identity

$$\int X_v^i(X) dX = \int X_v^2(X) dX,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq 2(n-t)-4} X_a \prod_{2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b.$$

By (4.2), for all $Y \in Y_{2(n-t)-3} = \prod_{1 \leq b \leq n-t-2} X_{e_b - e_{2(n-t)-2}}$ and all $v \in V_0$, we have

$$\int X_v^i(XY) dX = \int X_v^2(XY) dX,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq 2(n-t)-3} X_a \prod_{2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b.$$

We write

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ A & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & B \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$XY = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & B \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} & AB \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ A & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We must evaluate

$$\psi_U \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & B \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} & AB \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We write

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ A & 0_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq 2(n-t)-3, 1 \leq b \leq a-(n-t)+1} \xi_{a,b} x_{a,b}.$$

By abuse of notations, we write this in the form

$$A = \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq 2(n-t)-3, 1 \leq b \leq a-(n-t)+1} \xi_{a,b} x_{a,b}.$$

Similarly,

$$B = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-t-2} \xi_{j,2(n-t)-2} y_j.$$

Hence

$$AB = \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq 2(n-t)-3} \xi_{a,2(n-t)-2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-t-2} x_{a,j} y_j \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi_U \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & B \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} & AB \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \psi \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-t-2} x_{2(n-t)-3,j} y_j \right) \\
&= \psi(\langle X^{2(n-t)-3}, Y \rangle),
\end{aligned}$$

where $X^{2(n-t)-3}$ is the projection of X on the subgroup $X_{2(n-t)-3}$. Hence we have, for all $Y \in Y_{2(n-t)-3}$ and all $v \in V_0$,

$$\int X_v^1(X) \psi(\langle X^{2(n-t)-3}, Y \rangle) dX = \int X_v^2(X) \psi(\langle X^{2(n-t)-3}, Y \rangle) dX,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq 2(n-t)-3} X_a \prod_{2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b.$$

Applying Fourier inversion formula on the group $X_{2(n-t)-3}$, we obtain our assertion.

Second step. Assume that for k with $n-t \leq k \leq 2(n-t)-4$ and for all $v \in V_0$, we have established the identity

$$\int X_v^1(X) dX = \int X_v^2(X) dX,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq k, 2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} X_a Z_b.$$

We show that for all $v \in V_0$, we have the identity

$$\int X_v^1(X) dX = \int X_v^2(X) dX,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq k-1, 2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} X_a Z_b.$$

By (4.2), for all $v \in V_0$ and all $Y \in Y_k$, we have

$$\int X_v^1(XY) dX = \int X_v^2(XY) dX,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq k, 2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} X_a Z_b.$$

Recall that

$$X_k = \prod_{1 \leq b \leq k-(n-t)+1} X_{e_k - e_b},$$

$$Y_k = \prod_{1 \leq b \leq k-(n-t)+1} X_{e_b - e_{k+1}}.$$

Hence $n - t + 1 \leq k + 1 \leq 2(n - t) - 3$ and $b \leq n - t - 3$. Thus we may write Y as the matrix

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & B & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We still write

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ A & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$XY = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & B & 0 \\ A & I_{n-t-2} + AB & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To continue we must check that the matrix $I_{n-t-2} + AB$ is invertible. Now again by abuse of notations as in the First step, write

$$A = \sum_{1 \leq b \leq k-(n-t)+1, n-t \leq a \leq k} \xi_{a,b} x_{a,b} + \sum_{k-(n-t)+2 \leq b \leq n-t-1, n-t \leq a \leq b+n-t-2} \xi_{a,b} z_{a,b},$$

and

$$B = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-(n-t)+1} \xi_{j,k+1} y_j.$$

Hence

$$AB = \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq k} \xi_{a,k+1} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-(n-t)+1} x_{a,j} y_j \right).$$

In this sum all the terms $\xi_{a,k+1}$ have $a \leq k - 1$ except for the term $\xi_{k,k+1}$ which appears with the coefficient $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-(n-t)+1} x_{k,j} y_j$. That is

$$AB = \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq k} \xi_{a,k+1} m_a,$$

and

$$m_k = \langle X^k, Y \rangle,$$

where X^k is the projection of X on the group X_k . Thus $I_{n-t-2} + AB$ is invertible and in fact, since AB has only one non-zero column,

$$(I_{n-t-2} + AB)^{-1} = I_{n-t-2} - AB.$$

We introduce the matrix

$$\tilde{A} = (I_{n-t-2} + AB)^{-1}A = (I_{n-t-2} - AB)A.$$

Now

$$ABA = \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq k, k-(n-t)+3 \leq b \leq n-t-1} \xi_{a,b} z_{k+1,b} m_a.$$

The pairs (a, b) which appear satisfy the inequality

$$2 \leq b \leq n-t-1, n-t \leq a \leq b+n-t-2.$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{A} \\ &= A - ABA \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq b \leq k-(n-t)+1, n-t \leq a \leq k} \xi_{a,b} x_{a,b} + \sum_{k-(n-t)+2 \leq b \leq n-t-1, n-t \leq a \leq b+n-t-2} \xi_{a,b} z'_{a,b}. \end{aligned}$$

In words, \tilde{A} has the same shape as A and the same $x_{a,b}$ coordinates. Hence the matrix

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{A} & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix}$$

is in the same group as the matrix X . Also

$$\tilde{A}B = \sum_{n-t \leq a \leq k} \xi_{a,k+1} \tilde{m}_a,$$

and

$$\tilde{X}^k = X^k, \tilde{m}_k = \langle \tilde{X}^k, Y \rangle = \langle X^k, Y \rangle.$$

On the other hand the matrix BA is the sum of

$$\xi_{b,b'} z_{k+1,b'} y_b$$

with

$$1 \leq b \leq k-(n-t)+1, k-(n-t)+3 \leq b' \leq n-t-1.$$

The inequalities imply

$$b+(n-t) \leq k+1 \leq b'+n-t-2$$

or

$$b \leq b' - 2.$$

Thus BA is an upper triangular matrix with 0 entries in the diagonal and just above the diagonal. In particular, $I_{n-t-1} - BA$ is invertible. The same remarks apply to the matrix $B\tilde{A}$ and $I_{n-t-1} - B\tilde{A}$.

Thus we can continue our computation

$$\begin{aligned}
& XY \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} - B\tilde{A} & B & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} + AB & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{A} & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi_U \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} - B\tilde{A} & B & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} + AB & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \psi_{U_{n-t-2}}(I_{n-t-2} + AB) \\
&= \psi(\langle X^k, Y \rangle) \\
&= \psi(\langle \tilde{X}^k, Y \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence our identity reads

$$\int X_v^1(\tilde{X})\psi(\langle \tilde{X}^k, Y \rangle)dX = \int X_v^2(\tilde{X})\psi(\langle \tilde{X}^k, Y \rangle)dX, \forall v \in V_0,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq k, 2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} X_a Z_b.$$

We want to use \tilde{X} as the variable of integration. Because AB and BA are nilpotent we have

$$d\tilde{X} = |p(X)|dX$$

and

$$dX = |\tilde{p}(\tilde{X})|d\tilde{X}$$

where p and \tilde{p} are polynomials in the entries of X and \tilde{X} respectively. Then

$$|\tilde{p}(\tilde{X})p(X)| = 1.$$

Since \tilde{X} is a polynomial function of X we see that p is a constant $c > 0$ and so $d\tilde{X} = cdX$. In fact $c = 1$ but we do not need this fact. Hence our identity reads

$$\int X_v^1(\tilde{X})\psi(\langle \tilde{X}^k, Y \rangle)d\tilde{X} = \int X_v^2(\tilde{X})\psi(\langle \tilde{X}^k, Y \rangle)d\tilde{X}, \forall v \in V_0,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq k, 2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} X_a Z_b.$$

Applying Fourier inversion formula on the group X_k , we get, for all $v \in V_0$, the equality

$$\int X_v^1(X) dX = \int X_v^2(X) dX,$$

where both integrals are over the product

$$\prod_{n-t \leq a \leq k-1, 2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} X_a Z_b.$$

Third step. Applying descending induction on k we arrive at

$$\int_{\prod_{2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^1(Z) dZ = \int_{\prod_{2 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^2(Z) dZ, \forall v \in V_0.$$

We prove now that for $2 \leq k \leq n-t-1$, if we have

$$\int_{\prod_{k \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^1(Z) dZ = \int_{\prod_{k \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^2(Z) dZ, \forall v \in V_0,$$

then we have

$$\int_{\prod_{k+1 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^1(Z) dZ = \int_{\prod_{k+1 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^2(Z) dZ, \forall v \in V_0.$$

By ascending induction this will establish our contention.

By (4.2), we have for all $T \in T_k$ and all $v \in V_0$,

$$\int_{\prod_{k \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^1(T Z T^{-1}) dZ = \int_{\prod_{k \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^2(T Z T^{-1}) dZ.$$

We write

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ A & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & B & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again by abuse of notations as in previous steps, write

$$A = \sum_{k \leq b \leq n-t-1, n-t \leq a \leq b+n-t-2} \xi_{a,b} z_{a,b},$$

$$B = \sum_{n-t \leq j \leq k+n-t-2} \xi_{k-1,j} t_j.$$

Since $b \geq k$, the product $\xi_{a,b} \xi_{k-1,j}$ is always 0 and so $AB = 0$. On the other hand

$$BA = \sum_{k \leq b \leq n-t-1} \xi_{k-1,b} \left(\sum_{n-t \leq j \leq k+n-t-2} z_{j,b} t_j \right).$$

So BA is upper triangular with zero diagonal. The only nonzero entry just above the diagonal is the coefficient of $\xi_{k-1,k}$ which is

$$\sum_{n-t \leq j \leq k+n-t-2} z_{j,k} t_j = \langle Z^k, T \rangle,$$

where Z^k is the projection of Z on the group Z_k . Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} + BA & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} \in U,$$

and

$$\psi_U \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} + BA & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \psi(\langle Z^k, T \rangle).$$

Using the fact that $AB = 0$, we find

$$TZT^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} + BA & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-t-1} & 0 & 0 \\ A & I_{n-t-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{2t+3-n} \end{pmatrix},$$

and our identity reads, for all $T \in T_k$ and all $v \in V_0$,

$$\int_{\Pi_{k \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^1(Z) \psi(\langle Z^k, T \rangle) dZ = \int_{\Pi_{k \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^2(Z) \psi(\langle Z^k, T \rangle) dZ.$$

Applying Fourier inversion formula on the group Z_k , we conclude that

$$\int_{\Pi_{k+1 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^1(Z) dZ = \int_{\Pi_{k+1 \leq b \leq n-t-1} Z_b} X_v^2(Z) dZ, \forall v \in V_0.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

REFERENCES

- [AL15] M. Adrian and B. Liu, *Some results on simple supercuspidal representations of $GL_n(F)$* . To appear in J. of Number Theory, 2015.
- [ALSX15] M. Adrian, B. Liu, S. Shaun and P. Xu, *On the Jacquet Conjecture on the local converse problem for p -adic $GL(n)$* . To appear in Represent. Theory. 2015.
- [ALST15] M. Adrian, B. Liu, S. Shaun and K.-F. Tam, *On sharpness of the bound for Jacquet's Conjecture on the local converse problem for $GL(n)$* . In preparation. 2015.
- [B95] E. M. Baruch, *Local factors attached to representations of p -adic groups and strong multiplicity one*. PhD thesis, Yale University, 1995.
- [B97] E. M. Baruch, *On the gamma factors attached to representations of $U(2, 1)$ over a p -adic field*. Israel J. Math. **102** (1997), 317–345.
- [BZ77] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. I*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 4, 441–472.
- [BH14] C. Bushnell and G. Henniart, *Langlands parameters for epipelagic representations of GL_n* . Math. Ann. **358** (2014), no. 1–2, 433–463.
- [BK93] C. Bushnell and P. Kutzko, *The admissible dual of GL_N via restriction to compact open subgroups*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, **129**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [Ch15] J. Chai, *Bessel functions and local converse conjecture of Jacquet*. Preprint. 2015.
- [Ch96] J.-P. Chen, *Local factors, central characters, and representations of the general linear group over non-Archimedean local fields*. Thesis, Yale University, 1996.
- [Ch06] J.-P. Chen, *The $n \times (n - 2)$ local converse theorem for $GL(n)$ over a p -adic field*. J. Number Theory **120** (2006), no. 2, 193–205.
- [CPS99] J. Cogdell and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Converse theorems for GL_n . II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **507** (1999), 165–188.
- [GK75] I. M. Gelfand and D. A. Kazhdan, *Representations of the group $GL(n, K)$ where K is a local field*. Lie groups and their representations, pp. 95–118. Halsted, New York, 1975.
- [HO15] J. Hakim and O. Offen, *Distinguished representations of $GL(n)$ and local converse theorems*. Manuscripta Math. **148**, 1–27 (2015).
- [H93] G. Henniart, *Caractérisation de la correspondance de Langlands locale par les facteurs ϵ de paires*. Invent. Math. **113** (1993), no. 2, 339–350.
- [H02] G. Henniart, *Une caractérisation de la correspondance de Langlands locale pour $GL(n)$* . Bull. Soc. Math. France **130** (2002), no. 4, 587–602.
- [JPSS79] H. Jacquet, I. Piatetski-Shapiro and J. Shalika, *Automorphic forms on $GL(3)$* . Ann. of Math. (2) **109** (1979), no. 1–2, 169–258.
- [JPSS83] H. Jacquet, I. Piatetski-Shapiro and J. Shalika, *Rankin–Selberg convolutions*. Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 367–464.
- [JNS15] D. Jiang, C. Nien and S. Stevens, *Towards the Jacquet conjecture on the Local Converse Problem for p -adic GL_n* . J. Eur. Math. Soc. **17** (2015), no. 4, 991–1007.
- [JS03] D. Jiang and D. Soudry, *The local converse theorem for $SO(2n + 1)$ and applications*. Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 3, 743–806.
- [M15] G. Moss, *Gamma factors of pairs and a local converse theorem in families*. To appear in IMRN. 2015.

- [N14] C. Nien, *A proof of the finite field analogue of Jacquet's conjecture*. Amer. J. Math. **136** (3) (2014), 653–674.
- [PS08] V. Paskunas and S. Stevens, *On the realization of maximal simple types and epsilon factors of pairs*. Amer. J. Math. **130** (5) (2008), 1211–1261.
- [S84] F. Shahidi, *Fourier transforms of intertwining operators and Plancherel measures for $GL(n)$* . Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), no. 1, 67–111.
- [X13] P. Xu, *A remark on the simple cuspidal representations of GL_n* . Preprint. 2013. arXiv:1310.3519.
- [Z15a] Q. Zhang, *A local converse theorem for $U(1,1)$* . Preprint. 2015. arXiv:1509.00900.
- [Z15b] Q. Zhang, *A local converse theorem for $U(2,2)$* . Preprint. 2015. arXiv:1508.07062.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, RM 615, MC 4408
2990 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10027 USA

E-mail address: `hj@math.columbia.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, EINSTEIN
DRIVE, PRINCETON, NJ 08540 USA

E-mail address: `liu@ias.edu`